

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/915,773	07/26/2001	Courtney Flem Morgan	SU/V-31557P1	6895
75	90 07/31/2003			
THOMAS HOXIE NOVARTIS CORPORATION, PATENT AND TRADEMARK DEPT. 564 MORRIS AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
SUMMIT, NJ	07901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/915,773	MORGAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	March 2003 .					
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
, <u> </u>	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	5) Notice of Informal	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 15-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species or invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 is indefinite because claim 8 fails to further limit the invention described in claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1,2,4,6-9,11,13,14,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brady et al. WO 94/18908.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,773

Art Unit: 3738

Brady et al. discloses in figure 3, abstract, lines 13-30 of page 2 and lines 10-34 of page 10 a foldable or rollable intraocular lens comprised of shape memory material wherein the optic has thickness greater than a peripheral edge thickness and flared peripheral edge thickness (which is greater than the peripheral edge thickness). The flared portions comprise bosses into which haptics are attached.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady et al. WO 94/18908 as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and in further view of Stoy US PN 4,731,079.

Brady et al. meets the structural limitations of claims 5 and 12 as described above but lacks the express written disclosure of using a rollable modified PMMA material for the lens body. Stoy discloses an intraocular lens having a rollable modified PMMA material for the lens body in order to assist implantation through a smaller incision to reduce recovery periods.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the intraocular lens disclosed by Brady et al by using a

Application/Control Number: 09/915,773

**Art Unit: 3738** 

rollable modified PMMA material for the lens body in order to assist implantation through a smaller incision to reduce recovery periods as taught by Stoy.

8. Claims 3,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady et al. WO 94/18908 as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and in further view of Bissonette et al. US PN 4,725,276.

Brady et al. meets the structural limitations of claims 3 and 10 as described above but lacks the express written disclosure of thermally welding the haptics to the bores. Bissonette et al. discloses an intraocular lens having haptics thermally welded to bores in order to securely attach the haptics to the lens body.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the intraocular lens disclosed by Brady et al by thermally welding the haptics to the bores in order to securely attach the haptics to the lens body as taught by Bissonette et al.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 703-305-0316. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-4:30 (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3738

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-2708 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

WHM July 27, 2003

David H. Willse Primary Examiner